

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,585	07/21/2003	Shawn Charron	21146.00	3385
7590 08/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard C. Litman LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD.			JOYCE, HAROLD	
P.O. Box 15035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22215			3749	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/622,585	CHARRON, SHAWN		
Office Ad	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Harold Joyce	3749		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED ST THE MAILING DATI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the seriod for reply specifing the seriod for reply is significant to reply within the Any reply received by the	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION Available under the provisions of 37 CF on the mailing date of this communication ified above is less than thirty (30) days, becified above, the maximum statutory provided the provided period for reply will, by a set or extended period for reply will.	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and an action in a second in a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	<u>04 June 2004</u> .			
2a) This action is	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this app	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 i 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specificat 10) ☐ The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement of	s/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction a ion is objected to by the Exa) filed on is/are: a) not request that any objection to lrawing sheet(s) including the co	awn from consideration. and/or election requirement. miner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyonrection is required if the drawing.			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ S 1. ☐ Certifie 2. ☐ Certifie 3. ☐ Copies applica	ome * c) None of: d copies of the priority docul d copies of the priority docul of the certified copies of the tion from the International B	ments have been received in priority documents have be	n Application No en received in this National Stage		
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	8) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Achen. [Examiner's Note: the encircling stucco wall or opening corresponds to the masonry vent, claim 6. Note, column 4, lines 31-33.]

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Applicant's election with traverse of Figure 2 in the reply filed on June 4, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the vent covers of Figure 1 and Figure 2 are different only in decorative design. This reasoning is persuasive. Therefore, Figure 1 will be examined together with the elected Figure 2.
- 4. Applicant's argument with respect to Figure 3 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search and examination of the application can be accomplished without a serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the species of Figures 1, 2 and Figure 3 are mutually exclusive and patentably distinct.

The requirement here is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 7-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,585 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hallold Joyce Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749